



SGA International ADR Equity

Strategy Review

As of September 30, 2023

# Market Overview

As of September 30, 2023


## Summary

- Major equity benchmarks declined in the quarter as investors recalibrated expectations for a higher for longer interest rate environment.
- Inflation has moderated, but a rebound in global energy prices may hinder further progress.
- The OECD revised its 2023 global growth outlook to 3.0%, higher than previous forecasts.

## Market Overview


Major equity benchmarks declined in the quarter as investors recalibrated expectations for a higher for longer interest rate environment. Although inflation in the U.S. and Europe has moderated without material weakness in the labor market or consumption patterns, a resurgence in global energy prices may hinder further progress. Global economic growth in the first half of 2023 was led by the U.S. and Japan, while Europe saw modest gains. The OECD revised its 2023 global growth forecast to 3.0%, higher than previous forecasts, but anticipates growth to decelerate to 2.7% in 2024 as China's economy softens and the lagged effects of a tighter monetary policy become more pronounced. While inflation is expected to ease in the coming year, levels broadly remain above central bank targets. A sustained rebound in global energy prices poses the primary risk, potentially necessitating additional policy tightening, which may expose financial vulnerabilities and challenge the soft landing narrative. Despite near term headwinds, the global economy continued to show resilience. As financial markets adjust to an elevated interest rate regime, long term investors are well positioned to find opportunities.

## 3Q 2023 Market Returns



Global equity markets, as measured by the MSCI All Country World Net Index, delivered negative returns in the third quarter. Most major benchmark returns were clustered closely together, with smaller differences observed across market cap size and geographical region. A notable exception was within international developed market large cap stocks, as represented by the MSCI EAFE Net Index. Within this segment, value stocks, as measured by the MSCI EAFE Value Net Index, strongly outperformed growth stocks, as represented by the MSCI EAFE Growth Net Index, by over 900 basis points. Conversely, U.S. value stocks, as measured by the Russell 1000 Value Index, performed broadly in line with their U.S. growth stock counterpart.

## Trailing Twelve Month Market Returns



Despite elevated inflation and a hawkish rate hiking campaign by central banks, most global indices achieved double digit returns in the past year. Developed market large cap equities, tracked by the MSCI EAFE Net Index and Russell 1000 Index, outperformed emerging market equities, as represented by the MSCI Emerging Markets Net Index. Chinese equities lagged due to weak domestic demand and stress in property markets. In the U.S., large cap growth stocks, as measured by the Russell 1000 Growth Index, outperformed value stocks, as measured by the Russell 1000 Value Index, by over 13 percentage points, partly due to the significant underperformance of the Financials sector following the U.S. banking crisis earlier this year.

Source: SGA, FactSet, MSCI, Russell

Benchmark returns expressed in U.S. dollars (USD).

# Market Overview

As of September 30, 2023

Over the past year, amid rising interest rates and high inflation, defensive and rate sensitive sectors lagged, including Consumer Staples, Health Care, and Utilities. Real Estate particularly struggled as higher interest rates and tightening credit conditions pressured valuations. Information Technology emerged as the best performing sector for the past year, fueled by heightened interest in A.I. During the third quarter, Energy was the best performing sector as OPEC+ production cuts propelled oil prices beyond \$90 per barrel.

India's performance was notable in the quarter, as pro-business reforms and investments in infrastructure and manufacturing have drawn investor focus on the country's attractive long term growth opportunities. Over the past year, China faced headwinds primarily due to negative sentiment in real estate and consumer stocks. In contrast, Germany and France rallied strongly, averting a feared energy crisis during the critical winter heating season.

## Outlook


Despite historic monetary tightening, most major equity benchmarks have delivered double digit returns over the past twelve months, partially driven by receding inflation concerns attributed to factors including declining goods prices and base effects. In the U.S., there is a prevailing narrative of a potential soft landing, as any moderation in employment levels is expected to unfold gradually, rather than causing an immediate shock to output. Conversely, the economic outlook for Europe appears more challenging, characterized by weakening economic conditions, heightened vulnerability to China's economic slowdown, and rising energy costs. The ECB's decision to raise the deposit rate to 4% in September, coupled with downward revisions to growth forecasts, highlights this complexity. China faces its own set of challenges, which may have sizeable repercussions on worldwide growth, trade, and financial markets. Despite proactive policies, China's ability to address domestic slowdown concerns remains uncertain.

In an environment of decelerating economic growth, the importance of individual stock selection becomes more pronounced. The focus shifts to identifying business models capable of navigating tightening financing conditions and weakening demand. Notably, despite the rise in borrowing costs, many companies have extended debt maturities in recent years, introducing a delayed source of uncertainty. Our investment strategy centers on identifying companies with strong balance sheets, robust cash generation capabilities, and low debt levels, which we believe can help weather these challenges.

## SGA Alpha Model Performance – Research Impact

SGA's research team continually evaluates the efficacy and performance of its proprietary Alpha Model, and have maintained ongoing factor research since the inception of the firm. SGA monitors the impact of factor research, and over the past five years all significant enhancements to Alpha Model factors have outperformed the factors they replaced. Notably, the updated Residual Income Model, which includes a long term growth forecast, has slightly outpaced the original, despite Value leadership in the period since its implementation in May 2021.

Original Factor vs. New: Risk Adjusted Information Coefficients Since Implementation



As of July 31, 2023. Source: FactSet, SGA. For illustrative purposes only. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Please see Appendix for SGA Category Group Research Disclosure and Investment Process Disclosure.

# Composite Performance

## SGA International ADR Equity


### International ADR Equity

The following table and chart show composite returns on a net and gross of fees basis versus the benchmark. The composite's returns relative to the benchmark are shown in the chart at the bottom of the page.

As of September 30, 2023

#### Performance

	Qtr*	YTD*	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	7 Yrs	10 Yrs	Since Inception (06/30/2006)
Composite (Gross) %	-4.08	9.35	28.41	5.86	3.52	5.34	5.08	4.80
Composite (Net) %**	-4.25	8.78	27.52	5.09	2.76	4.53	4.22	3.92
MSCI EAFE Index (Net) %	-4.11	7.08	25.65	5.75	3.24	5.29	3.82	3.42



Source: SGA, MSCI

Benchmark: MSCI EAFE Index (Net)

\*Returns for periods of less than one year are not annualized.

\*\*Composite returns are net of management fees. Benchmark returns are net of foreign withholding taxes.

Please see the GIPS® Report for additional information. Past performance is not indicative of future results.


# International ADR Equity Commentary

## Third Quarter as of September 30, 2023

Following robust first half gains, global equities declined in the third quarter. Persistent inflation, higher borrowing costs, coupled with soaring oil prices, tempered the appetite for risk. International stocks, as measured by the MSCI EAFE Net Index, returned -4.11% in the third quarter. In this environment, the International Equity ADR strategy performed in-line with the benchmark.


The SGA Alpha Model had positive performance for the quarter among non-U.S. large cap developed equities. Within the Model, the Valuation category was the primary contributor, where SGA's Residual Income Model and Free Cash Flow Yield factors were the top performing factors during the quarter. The Sentiment category also modestly contributed while Growth had a roughly neutral impact. The Quality category underperformed, partially offsetting the positive contributions from the other categories.

### 3Q 2023 Sector Attribution



The in-line performance was driven by strong selection in Consumer Discretionary, Communication Services, and Industrials, offset by weak selection in Consumer Staples. Carlsberg and Yakult Honsha, citing weak China sales, detracted. Consumer Discretionary, a lagging sector, outperformed with Stellantis and Pandora advancing on robust earnings. Universal Music Group, favored by SGA's Quality and Growth factors, saw price hikes and improved fundamentals while Fuji Electric and RELX gained in Industrials. Health Care also contributed, with Novo Nordisk and Novartis trading higher on promising clinical trials. Financials had mixed results, with an underweight to rallying low-quality banks offset by strong selection in financial services and consumer finance companies, including Orix.

### 3Q 2023 Country Attribution



Strong selection in European companies ranked favorably by SGA's Value and Quality factors, and an underweight to Hong Kong, a lagging market, drove the in-line performance. In the U.K. and Spain, energy companies BP plc and Repsol advanced amid rising oil prices while Netherlands-based Universal Music Group also outperformed. Pandora and Novo Nordisk, which benefited from its weight loss drug, Ozempic, advanced in Denmark. Weak selection in Japan tempered performance, including Hoya and Renesas, which declined in sympathy with semiconductor companies. Proposed regulations aimed at improving corporate governance provided support to Japanese stocks with low price-to-book ratios. An underweight to low quality, low price-to-book companies, which rallied, had a negative impact.

Source: SGA, Northern Trust, MSCI. Benchmark: MSCI EAFE Index (Net). \*Selection effect includes interaction effect.

All GICS sectors shown. Ten largest countries shown by benchmark weight. Attribution is shown using a representative account and is gross of fees. ETFs, if held, are generally used for cash management purposes and/or to gain exposure in markets that are unavailable to the account, and are not included in the referenced data.


# International ADR Equity Commentary

## Trailing Twelve Months as of September 30, 2023

International stocks, as represented by MSCI EAFE Net Index, returned 25.65% for the trailing twelve months as markets navigated stubborn inflation and rising interest rates, Russia's continued war with Ukraine, and turmoil in the global banking system. In this environment, the International ADR Equity strategy outperformed the benchmark.


The SGA Alpha Model had positive performance over the trailing twelve months among non-U.S. large cap developed equities. The Model performed well the first three quarters of 2023, more than overcoming underperformance in 4Q 2022. Overall, within the Model, Valuation was the primary contributor, led by SGA's Residual Income Model factor which was the top performing factor over the period. Growth, Quality, and Sentiment all had negative standalone contributions; however, the Model also benefitted from positive interaction between categories, as the combined Model outperformed the categories' standalone contributions.

### Trailing Twelve Month Sector Attribution



Strong selection in Industrials, Materials, Financials, and Health Care drove the outperformance, offset by weak selection in Information Technology, Consumer Staples, and Energy. Equinor's sensitivity to gas prices and rising costs for protein producers WH Group and JBS were notable laggards. In IT, software firm Nice and IT services firm Capgemini, which cited weaker client spending, detracted. Trading companies Mitsui and Marubeni outperformed, benefitting from rising inflation while 3i Group contributed in Financials. Robust earnings drove strong selection for pharmaceuticals Novo Nordisk and Novartis, the latter unveiling promising clinical trials. SGA's Alpha Model outperformed in Real Estate, the worst performing sector. Avoiding low-quality companies helped relative performance.

### Trailing Twelve Month Country Attribution



Positive stock selection in the U.K., Japan, and Denmark drove the outperformance. In Japan, Marubeni and Mitsui experienced brisk trading. Semiconductor firm Renesas rallied on A.I. opportunities while Shin-Etsu offered a positive PVC outlook. In the U.K., positive selection was led by 3i Group and Intercontinental Hotel Group, which experienced an increase in post-pandemic travel demand. Pandora and Novo Nordisk, boosted by the successful Ozempic weight loss drug, drove selection in Denmark. An underweight to Hong Kong, the weakest market, added to relative performance. Weak selection in Israel and Germany partially offset gains. Detractors in Israel included Nice and Bank Hapoalim while an underweight to lower-ranked German industrials Siemens and SAP, which rallied, detracted.

Source: SGA, Northern Trust, MSCI. Benchmark: MSCI EAFE Index (Net). \*Selection effect includes interaction effect.

All GICS sectors shown. Ten largest countries shown by benchmark weight. Attribution is shown using a representative account and is gross of fees. ETFs, if held, are generally used for cash management purposes and/or to gain exposure in markets that are unavailable to the account, and are not included in the referenced data.

# GIPS® Report

## SGA International ADR Equity

YEAR END	TOTAL FIRM ASSETS (MILLIONS)	COMPOSITE ASSETS			ANNUAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS				3-YEAR ANNUALIZED EX-POST STANDARD DEVIATION**	
		USD (MILLIONS)	NO. OF ACCOUNTS	% OF WRAP ASSETS	COMPOSITE GROSS*	COMPOSITE NET	MSCI EAFE INDEX (NET)	COMPOSITE DISPERSION	COMPOSITE GROSS	MSCI EAFE INDEX (NET)
2022	3,231	22	5	<1%	-16.61%	-17.21%	-14.45%	N/A	20.61%	20.25%
2021	4,365	26	5	<1%	14.85%	13.99%	11.26%	N/A	16.80%	17.16%
2020	5,045	167	5	0%	6.86%	6.05%	7.82%	N/A	17.68%	18.14%
2019	5,139	126	5	0%	22.46%	21.56%	22.01%	N/A	11.42%	10.96%
2018	3,944	105	5	0%	-14.33%	-14.98%	-13.79%	N/A	11.18%	11.40%
2017	4,085	28	7	16%	23.69%	22.60%	25.03%	0.13%	10.98%	12.00%
2016	3,023	22	6	35%	0.72%	-0.23%	1.00%	N/A	11.92%	12.64%
2015	2,548	18	5	18%	4.67%	3.68%	-0.81%	N/A	11.63%	12.64%
2014	1,141	12	3	24%	0.67%	-0.28%	-4.90%	N/A	12.25%	13.21%
2013	715	<1	1	100%	24.38%	23.22%	22.78%	N/A	15.70%	16.48%
2012	441	<1	1	100%	24.50%	23.34%	17.32%	N/A	18.89%	19.65%
2011	313	<1	1	100%	-8.54%	-9.41%	-12.14%	N/A	22.40%	22.75%
2010	153	<1	1	100%	9.79%	8.76%	7.75%	N/A	26.87%	26.61%
2009	145	<1	1	100%	36.39%	35.13%	31.78%	N/A	24.55%	23.91%
2008	128	5	2	6%	-44.64%	-45.19%	-43.38%	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A - Information is not statistically meaningful due to an insufficient number of portfolios in the composite for the entire year.

\*Pure gross returns are shown as supplemental information and for bundled fee accounts are stated gross of all fees and transaction costs.

\*\*The 3-year annualized ex-post standard deviation is not shown when there are not 36 monthly performance returns available.

SGA International ADR Equity Composite includes all discretionary, equity only accounts whose primary investment objective is growth, and secondarily yield, and are invested in international large cap ADRs. The minimum account size for this composite is \$100 thousand. Key material risks include foreign company, currency, political, and economic events unique to a country or region that may affect those markets and their issuers. Prior to December 31, 2016, accounts in the composite held at least 75 securities. As of January 1, 2017, minimum number of securities is no longer required for accounts to be included in the composite. Beginning January 1, 2017, accounts in this composite may contain client-imposed investment restrictions. For comparison purposes, the composite is compared to the MSCI EAFE Net Index, which includes large and mid-cap companies in developed market countries, excluding the U.S. and Canada. The International ADR Equity Composite was created on December 1, 2018 with a performance inception date of June 30, 2006. Prior to December 31, 2016, the International ADR Equity Composite was known as the International Large Cap Core ADR Equity Composite.

Strategic Global Advisors, LLC (SGA) claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. SGA has been independently verified for the periods December 1, 2005 through December 31, 2022. The verification report(s) is/are available upon request.

A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related to composite and pooled fund maintenance, as well as the calculation, presentation, and distribution of performance, have been designed in compliance with the GIPS standards and have been implemented on a firm-wide basis. Verification does not provide assurance on the accuracy of any specific performance report.

SGA is an independently registered investment advisor. Registration does not imply any level of skill or training. A list of all composite and pooled fund investment strategies offered by the firm, with a description of each strategy, is available upon request. The type of portfolios in which each strategy is available (segregated account, limited distribution pooled fund, or broad distribution pooled fund) is indicated in the description of each strategy. Composite performance is presented net of foreign withholding taxes on dividends, interest income, and capital gains. Withholding taxes may vary according to the investor's domicile. Composite returns represent investors domiciled primarily in the United States. The MSCI EAFE Net Index uses withholding tax rates applicable to Luxembourg holding companies.

The U.S. dollar is the currency used to express performance. This composite consists of both bundled fee (or wrap fee) and non-bundled fee accounts. Between July 1, 2006 and August 31, 2007, the composite included only non-bundled fee accounts. From December 1, 2009 through December 31, 2013, 100% of the composite consisted of bundled fee (or wrap fee) accounts. For bundled fee accounts, these accounts pay a fee based on a percentage of assets under management, which besides brokerage commissions, this fee includes portfolio monitoring, consulting services, and in some cases, custodial services. Returns are presented gross and net of fees and include the reinvestment of all income. Gross returns are shown as supplemental information and for bundled fee accounts are stated gross of all fees and transaction costs; net returns are reduced by management fees and transaction costs. Composite net of fees performance was calculated by reducing the gross of fees return by the maximum annual management fee of 0.70% applied monthly effective July 1, 2022. Prior to July 1, 2022, composite net of fees performance was calculated by reducing the gross of fees return by the maximum annual management fee of 0.75% applied monthly. Prior to October 1, 2017, composite net of fees performance was calculated using the maximum annual management fee of 0.95% applied monthly effective September 1, 2007. Prior to September 1, 2007, net of fees performance was calculated using the highest applicable annual management fee of 0.50% applied monthly. Please note that the maximum annual management fee for the respective period may differ from the actual investment advisory fees incurred by clients.

The annual composite dispersion presented is a gross of fees asset weighted standard deviation calculated for the accounts in the composite the entire year and is only presented for periods with more than five accounts in for the entire year. Policies for valuing investments, calculating performance, and preparing GIPS Reports are available upon request.

# GIPS® Report

---

## SGA International ADR Equity

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

Maximum annual management fee is 0.70%; actual investment advisory fees incurred by clients may vary.

Please note: Previous versions of this product sheet contained related performance for periods November 30, 2005 to June 30, 2006. Performance shown prior to June 30, 2006 represents the International Equity Composite, which was a similar strategy to the International ADR Equity Composite but utilizes ordinary foreign shares instead of ADRs. The revised figures are included here. Additionally, all periods presented prior to December 1, 2018 include bundled fee portfolios. On December 1, 2018, the bundled fee portfolios were removed from this composite to the International ADR Wrap Equity composite.

Please contact SGA if you have questions.

GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein.



# Important Disclosures

---

Time periods are noted on the respective SGA Attribution by Sector and Country chart.

Attribution analysis uses holdings and performance for a representative account or the client's own account, as noted. In the case of a representative account, the performance may vary from other portfolios following the same strategy. The accounts are managed in a similar manner, and therefore we believe these results accurately approximate the performance of the relevant strategy. Actual account performance may vary.

Attribution analysis is presented gross of fees and the results portrayed reflect the reinvestment of dividends and other earnings. Investing in securities includes the possibility of loss. The volatility of the index may be materially different from that of the portfolio.

The results have been prepared using information believed to be reliable and may contain information provided by third parties, derived by third party information, and/or information that may have been obtained from, categorized, or otherwise reported based upon client direction. Returns will not precisely match composite returns or returns reconciled to the account's custodian due to the use of third party vendors for pricing, corporate actions, dividend reinvestment, and use of end of day holdings that do not account for trades not executed at closing prices.

Portfolio returns may vary from the composite returns due to several factors, including but not limited to: 1) Use of holdings-based, rather than transaction-based attribution; 2) net of fees pricing rather than actual pricing and tax withholding; and 3) attribution is run on a representative portfolio rather than a composite of portfolios.

Excess returns reported by SGA are calculated by subtracting the annualized return of the benchmark from the annualized return of the SGA portfolio. Traditional attribution, as defined above, is applied to cumulative returns of the benchmark versus cumulative returns of the portfolio, then differences in cumulative return are annualized.

Due to limitations on the availability of holdings data, all benchmark data for periods prior to July 31, 2007 represents the historical returns for the benchmark holdings as of July 31, 2007.

In preparing this presentation we have relied upon and assumed without independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of all information available from public sources. SGA has relied upon information derived from its internal accounting systems and vendors. If currently a client, please refer to formal performance documents received from your account custodian for reconciliation of performance and tax reporting.

This information is supplemental to the GIPS® Report, which is available upon request.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

## **Systematic Investment Risk**

There is potential for shortfall in any investment process due to a variety of factors including, but not limited to, data and system imperfections, analyst judgment, and the complex nature of designing and implementing portfolio construction systems and other quantitative models. Such shortfalls in systematic or quantitative processes in particular pose broader risk because they may be more pervasive in nature. Furthermore, the Advisor's systems may not necessarily perform in a manner in which they have historically performed or were intended to perform. The Advisor recognizes that such shortfalls are inherent to both fundamental and quantitative processes and believes that combining both approaches improves the opportunity to reduce these shortfalls. However, these efforts may not necessarily result in the identification of profitable investments or the management of risk.

## **Definitions**

**Average Weight:** The portfolio average weight of a position reflects the average daily value of the position relative to all of the securities in the portfolio over the period.

**Total Return:** Total returns for the portfolio gross of fees. Total returns include the reinvestment of dividends and other earnings.

**Contribution to Return:** The portfolio contribution to return is calculated by multiplying the beginning weight of a security by the portfolio return.

**Allocation Effect:** Portion of portfolio excess return attributed to over- or underweights relative to the benchmark. A group's allocation effect equals the weight of the portfolio's group minus the weight of the benchmark's group times the total return of the benchmark group minus the total return of the benchmark in aggregate.

**Selection Effect:** Selection effect is the portion of portfolio excess return attributable to choosing different securities within groups from the benchmark. A group's selection effect equals the weight of the benchmark's group multiplied by the total return of the portfolio's group minus the total return of the benchmark's group.

**Interaction Effect:** A group's interaction effect equals the weight of the portfolio's group minus the weight of the benchmark's group times the total return of the portfolio's group minus the total return of the benchmark's group.

**Total Effect:** The total effect represents the opportunity cost of an investment manager's investment decisions relative to the overall benchmark.

# Important Disclosures

---

## Investment Process Disclosure

Pages in this presentation referring to investment process, portfolio construction, investment guidelines, research, portfolio characteristics, and portfolio positioning are for illustrative purposes only. Figures and statements on these pages are subject to change and may vary based on market conditions, strategy and client-specific constraints.

The “daily screening portfolio optimization” generally runs daily on the strategy’s universe subject to SGA’s strategy and client-specific constraints. The “Stock Selection Model” estimates industry relative score based on several factors and may be interpreted as expected relative return. The “Risk Model” estimates the risk profile of each stock based on SGA’s proprietary alpha and risk factors.

Fundamental analysis generally takes into consideration more areas than listed. The areas listed as well as areas not listed may singly or jointly impact an analyst’s decision. The judgment of experienced analysts is used to determine the importance of these areas and whether they constitute a high enough level of concern that a stock will be deemed ineligible for purchase.

Never invest based purely on our publication or information, which is provided on an “as is” basis without representations. Past performance is not indicative of future results.

## SGA Category Group Research Disclosure

The SGA Category Group Research slide illustrates a backtest that is hypothetical and does not reflect actual returns of SGA portfolios. SGA does not guarantee the accuracy of these estimates or methodology. SGA believes the backtest analysis provides important insights for SGA in thinking about and designing the firm’s investment process. SGA applies both quantitative and qualitative approaches to portfolio management, which may vary depending on market conditions and impact the firm’s ability to capture the alpha indicated by these backtests.

There are limitations inherent in backtested category research results, particularly the fact that such results do not represent actual trading and that they may not reflect the impact that material economic and market factors might have had on portfolio decision making in a live client account. SGA did not manage any live accounts prior to November 2005.

International investing includes the possibility of loss.

SGA encourages clients and prospects to seek independent sources of analysis in assessing SGA’s returns and process. For additional information on the calculation methodology please contact Strategic Global Advisors, LLC at 949.706.2640.

- Information Coefficient: Correlation between SGA’s factor score and the subsequent quarterly risk-adjusted return across the universe. The data presented illustrates a comparison of forecasting performance of factor scores of the SGA factor base-case (old) to those of the new factor. The comparison is shown by calculating the correlation between the Current and New and the subsequent quarterly returns of companies in the universe (Information Coefficient)
- The securities in the analysis consist of SGA’s default universe of companies and recalculated every quarter through time. It includes companies in global developed and emerging market countries that have had a market cap in the top four deciles at any month-end over the trailing 12 months as of the start of each quarter.
- Quarterly rebalancing with no transaction costs
- Included: Developed and Emerging countries
- SGA is equally weighting all companies in the universe in a correlation calculation in order to determine Information Coefficients.

Source: FactSet, SGA

Past performance is not indicative of future results.